# MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

June 28, 2006

#### **DIVISION ONE**

B186047 People

(Certified for Partial Publication)

v.

Eduardo M.

The finding that Eduardo was an accessory (count 4) is reversed with prejudice. The adjudication and dispositional orders are modified to strike the findings that a principal was armed during the commission of counts 1 and 2, and any related potential custody time. The orders further are modified to strike the calculation of Eduardo's maximum confinement time, and to award him 89 rather than 88 days of predisposition custody credit. The matter is remanded for the court to dismiss count 4 and the armed enhancements on counts 1 and 2 with prejudice and conduct a new dispositional hearing at which it should exercise its discretion and determine whether Eduardo has special educational needs and his maximum possible confinement time in light of our holdings. Because the court's exercise of discretion at the new dispositional hearing may effect its decision whether a Youth Authority commitment is appropriate, the Youth Authority commitment order is vacated, and on remand the court should reconsider Eduardo's proper placement and make a new commitment order. In all other respects, the judgment (order of wardship) is affirmed.

Rothschild, J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.

Mallano, J.

### **DIVISION ONE (Continued)**

B179844 Maria L. Gonzalez (Not for Publication)

B181787 v.

Javier Gonzalez

The judgment is reversed. Plaintiff is to recover costs on appeal.

Spencer, P.J.

We concur: Mallano, J.

Rothschild, J.

B185845 Woodward (Not for Publication)

v.

Dunklin et al.

The interlocutory judgment is affirmed. Respondent shall recover her costs

on appeal.

Rothschild, J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.

Vogel (Miriam A.), J.

B182852 Elvira Rodriguez (Not for Publication)

v.

Los Angeles Unified School District

The judgment is affirmed. Respondent(s) to recover costs.

Rothschild, J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.

Mallano, J.

# **DIVISION ONE (Continued)**

B184166 Hampton Bay Court Association et al. (Not for Publication)

v.

Storm/Western Development, Inc.

The summary judgment is reversed and the request for sanction is denied. The matter is remanded with directions to permit the parties conduct additional discovery on the issues of fraudulent concealment and willful concealment and to permit the Association to seek leave to amend the complaint to plead an exception to the statue of limitations defense. The Association is awarded its costs on appeal.

Rothschild, J.

We concur: Spencer, P.J.

Mallano, J.

#### **DIVISION TWO**

B181547 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Puga, et al.

The judgments are affirmed.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.

Doi Todd, J.

B184629 Carson Redevelopment Agency (Certified for Publication)

v.

Padilla, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. The Agency shall recover its costs on appeal.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.

Chavez, J.

#### **DIVISION TWO (Continued)**

B182597 People (Not for Publication)

v.

McCune

The judgment is modified to impose and suspend a \$200 parole revocation fine and is otherwise affirmed.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.

Chavez, J.

B179194 James (Not for Publication)

v.

Shapiro et al.

The summary judgment entered in favor of Shapiro is affirmed. As to Christensen, summary judgment is reversed and remanded. On remand, the trial court shall consider Christensen's motion for summary adjudication regarding James's prayer for punitive damages. James is entitled to costs on appeal.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Doi Todd, Acting P.J.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

B181352 Edwards

v.

Werner et al.

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

#### **DIVISION THREE**

B183864 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Gonzales

The judgment is affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Kitching, J.

Aldrich, J.

B182369 People (Not for Publication)

v. Vigil

Defendant's request for judicial notice is granted. The judgment is

affirmed.

Aldrich, J.

We concur: Klein, P.J.

Kitching, J.

### **DIVISION FIVE**

B183151 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Negrete

The judgment is affirmed.

Mosk, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Armstrong, J.

#### **DIVISION SIX**

B181563 People (Not for Publication)

v. Amey

The order is modified to strike the \$200 probation violation restitution fine, but otherwise affirmed.

Gilbert, P.J.

We concur: Yegan, J.

Perren, J.

B186855 People (Not for Publication)

v. Cheung

The judgment is affirmed.

Perren, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Coffee, J.

B176934 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Hartman

We strike the \$10,000 parole revocation fine and affirm the judgment as modified. (People v. Oganesyan, supra, 70 Cal.App.4th at p. 1183.) The clerk of the superior court is ordered to prepare and forward an amended abstract of judgment to the Department of Correction.

As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

Yegan, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Perren, J.

### DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B183983 Kammerer (Not for Publication)

V.

Kammerer

The judgment (order of disqualification) is affirmed. Costs on appeal are awarded to respondent.

Coffee, J.

We concur: Yegan, Acting P.J.

Perren, J.

B186637 People (Not for Publication)

v. Hilger

The judgment (order of commitment) is affirmed.

Perren, J.

We concur: Yegan, Acting P.J.

Coffee, J.

B186149 Schneider (Certified for Publication)

v.

California Coastal Commission

The judgment is reversed. The superior court is ordered to issue a peremptory writ commanding the Coastal Commission to vacate its decision and rehear the matter consistent with this opinion. Appellant is awarded costs on appeal.

Yegan, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Perren, J.

#### **DIVISION SEVEN**

B181786 Gray (Not for Publication)

v.

Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.

The judgment is affirmed. Daniel Gray is to recover his costs on appeal.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Johnson, J.

Zelon, J.

### **DIVISION EIGHT**

B177485 Hawks, (Not for Publication)

v.

U.S. Traffic Corporation Myers Power Products, Inc., et al.,

For the reasons set forth above, summary judgment is reversed. The trial court is directed to treat the motion as one for summary adjudication, to grant the motion as to the first and second causes of action, and to deny the motion as to the third cause of action. Because the summary judgment motion and this appeal were necessitated by Hawks' clumsy pleadings, we order that respondent recover its costs on appeal.

Rubin, Acting P.J.

We concur: Boland, J.

Flier, J.